UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,903	06/27/2003	Gjalt W. Huisman	MBX 025 DIV CON	7536
23579 Pabst Patent Gr	7590 03/09/201 oup LLP	EXAMINER		
1545 PEACHT	REE STREET NE	HUTSON, RICHARD G		
SUITE 320 ATLANTA, GA	A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/607,903	HUISMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		Trionara C. Trateon	1002
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED <u>24 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Applifor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have bunder set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origit than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was been filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup>	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an explanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> .	ad 04	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11,12,14-16,19 ar</u> DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>10 21</u> .	
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/Richard G Hutson/	
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1652

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment if entered would require further consideration and search on the following basis: Specifically applicants proposed amendment of claim 1 amending the claims from "a bacterial strain for production of polyhydroxyalkanoates..." to "a bacterial strain producing polyhydroxyalkanoates..." significantly changes the claim after final rejection and would require further consideration and a new search. Further, applicants amendment of claim 1 to add the limitation "wherein the bacteria expresses an amount of nuclease effective to degrade at least 95% of all of the nucleic acid released following lysis of the cells in less than 24 hours and reduce the viscosity of a cell lysate in a bacterial cell culture having a density of at least 50 g/1 so that recovery of product is enhanced." is not consistent with previously existing claim 2 that was dependent from claim 1 and applicants have cancelled in light of this amendment. Applicants attention is directed to previous claim 2 which appeared to describe the amount of the nuclease gene product released, as opposed to applicants proposed amendment which appears to describe the amount of nuclease expressed. These are clearly two different processes which applicants are limiting..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record remain in light of the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment and applicants arguments directed at the claims after entry of the proposed amendment..